

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/728,373		11/28/2000	Ting K. Yee	5169 US	3475	
35690	7590	02/22/2006		EXAMINER		
	-	OOD, KIVLIN, KO	KIM, DAVID S			
P.O. BOX AUSTIN,		67-0398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•				2633		
				DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/728,373	YEE ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
David S. Kim	2633			

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
  - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
  - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

# NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. L	JThe Notice of Appeal was filed on	A brief in	compliance with	1 37 CFR 4	1.37 must be	filed within two	months of th	e date
	of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 4	1.37(a)), or a	any extension th	ereof (37 C	FR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismi	sal of the ap	peal.
	Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed	, any reply m	nust be filed with	in the time	period set for	th in 37 CFR 4	1.37(a).	

- **AMENDMENTS** 3. 🔀 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
  - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) . will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: none.

Claim(s) objected to: none.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-31.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.

# AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

# REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s), 10 April 2001

13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

KENNETH VANDERPUYE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

#### Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The Applicant's proposed amendment introduces limitations absent from the previous version of the claims. In doing so, the proposed amendment raises new issues related to a change in the scope of the claims. A proper and sufficient response to these new issues would require further consideration and/or search.

For example, some newly introduced limitations absent from the previous version of the claims are:

## (from independent claims 1 and 18)

- wherein each extraction path of the signal extractor is configured to process a different one of the at least sidebands within the electrical signal, wherein a first extraction path of the two extraction paths is configured to process only an upper sideband within the electrical signal and a second extraction path of the two extraction paths is configured to process only a lower sideband within the electrical signal

# (from dependent claims 11 and 19)

- wherein the upper sideband and the lower sideband are sidebands of a common pilot tone

### Continuation of 13. Other:

Regarding the attached IDS filed on 10 April 2001, Examiner asserted that this IDS failed to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) because it did not include a copy of all of the listed documents (see previous Office Action mailed on 30 November 2005). However, Applicant pointed out the fact that the instant application is a continuation-in-part of Application Serial No. 09/474,659. IDS documents were also submitted for parent application 09/474,659. After reviewing the IDS documents in this parent application, Examiner found copies of the documents that were previously not considered. Accordingly, the IDS filed on 10 April 2001 complies with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), and all the listed documents of this IDS have been considered.